

IMM 360
September 1967

Courant Institute of
Mathematical Sciences

Results on a Transient Queue

Carole Siegel

Prepared under Contract Nonr-285(38) with
the Office of Naval Research NR 042-206
Distribution of this document is unlimited.

New York University

NYU-IMM-360

c.1



NEW YORK UNIVERSITY
COURANT INSTITUTE - LIBRARY
251 Mercer St. New York, N.Y. 10012

New York University
Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences

RESULTS ON A TRANSIENT QUEUE

Carole Siegel

This report represents results obtained at the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, with the Office of Naval Research, Contract Nonr-285(38).
Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

Distribution of this document is unlimited.

ABSTRACT

A result of P. Finch [Jour. Aus. Math. Soc., 10/61, 217-227] which obtains the generating function of the number of customers served during the busy period of $G/GI/1$ is extended. The case considered is that in which the service time of the first customer is a constant and service times of all subsequent customers are identically distributed random variables. The techniques employed involve a relation between the theories of fluctuation and of delayed recurrent events. A probabilistic derivation of a result due to Hirsch and Hanisch [Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 11/63] is given.

1. INTRODUCTION

P. Finch [4] has obtained an expression for the generating function of the number of customers served during the busy period of a general single-server queue assuming that the service time distribution of the first customer is the same as that of succeeding customers. In this paper we obtain a corresponding expression assuming that the service time distribution of the first customer is an arbitrary constant, (Theorem 1). As a by-product of this expression, we observe that results of H. Hanisch and W. Hirsch [5], previously obtained by analytical methods can be deduced by probabilistic arguments, (Theorem 3). Our method is based on a simple relation between the theories of fluctuation and of delayed recurrent events.

2. PRELIMINARY DEFINITIONS AND LEMMAS

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be an arbitrary sequence of mutually independent, identically distributed random variables defined on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . Put $S_0 = 0$ and $S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$, $n \geq 1$.

Definitions:

(1) $k \geq 1$ is a strict ladder index for (S_0, S_1, \dots, S_n) if $S_k > S_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$.

(2) $k \geq 1$ is the ρ -th strict ladder index if it is a

strict ladder index and is preceded by $\rho-1$ strict ladder indices.

Feller [1] has shown the result:

Lemma 1. The occurrence of a strict ladder index for $\{S_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ is a recurrent event.

Let $A_n^{(\rho)}$ denote the event that n is the ρ -th strict ladder index of the sequence $\{S_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$. Let I be an interval in $[0, \infty]$ and let $\mu_n^{(\rho)}(I)$ denote the probability that $A_n^{(\rho)}$ and $S_n \in I$ occur. The following lemma, basic to our arguments, is an extension of a result of G. Sptizer due to G. Baxter [2].

Lemma 2. For $I \subset [0, \infty]$

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{n} P\{S_n \in I\} = \sum_{\rho=1}^n \frac{1}{\rho} \mu_n^{(\rho)}(I) .$$

The following known results are also most pertinent.

Put $\mu_n^{(\rho)} = P\{A_n^{(\rho)}\}$, $n \geq 1$, and define $\mu_0^{(\rho)} = 0$. By Lemma 1, $\mu_n^{(\rho)}$ is the ρ -th convolution of $\mu_n^{(1)}$ with itself. In terms of generating functions of the sequence $\{\mu_n^{(\rho)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$,

$$(2) \quad \mu^{(\rho)}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n^{(\rho)} t^n = (\mu^{(1)}(t))^{\rho} .$$

Let I in (1) be $I_\infty = (0, \infty]$. Introducing generating functions in (1) we get

$$(3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P\{S_n > 0\} t^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\rho=1}^n \frac{1}{\rho} \mu_n^{(\rho)} t^n .$$

Note that $\mu_n^{(\rho)}(I_\infty) = \mu_n^{(\rho)}$. Since $\mu_n^{(\rho)} = 0$, $\rho > n$, the summation over ρ in (3) can be extended to ∞ .

Interchanging the order of summation we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P\{S_n > 0\} t^n &= \sum_{\rho=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n^{(\rho)} t^n \\ &= \sum_{\rho=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\rho} \mu^{(\rho)}(t) = \log \frac{1}{1 - u^{(1)}(t)} ; \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(4) \quad u^{(1)}(t) = 1 - e^{- \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{P\{S_n > 0\}}{n} t^n}$$

Now let $I_X = (0, X]$; set

$$\mu_n^{(\rho)}(I_X) = \tau_n^{(\rho)}(X) , \quad n \geq 1$$

$$\mu_0^{(\rho)}(I_X) = \tau_0^{(\rho)}(X) \equiv 0 .$$

Note that

$$(5) \quad \mu_n^{(\rho)} = \tau_n^{(\rho)}(\infty) , \quad n \geq 0 .$$

Now n is the ρ -th strict ladder index if and only if some $k \leq n$ is the first of ρ successive strict ladder indices and n is the ρ -th. Furthermore if $S_k = y$, $0 \leq y \leq x$, then by Lemma 1

$$P\{A_n^{(\rho)} \cap S_n \in I_x / A_k^{(1)} \cap S_k = y\} = \tau_{n-k}^{(\rho-1)}(x-y) .$$

Since $0 \leq y \leq x$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$

$$(6) \quad \tau_n^{(\rho)}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_0^x \tau_{n-k}^{(\rho-1)}(x-y) d\tau_k^{(1)}(y), \quad n \geq 2, \rho \geq 2.$$

$\tau_n^{(\rho)}(x)$ is nondecreasing in x . Thus (6) is well-defined as a Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral.

We define also

$$\tilde{\tau}_n^{(\rho)}(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} d\tau_n^{(\rho)}(x), \quad s > 0,$$

the Laplace-Stieltjes transform of $\tau_n^{(\rho)}(x)$. Let

$$\tau^{(\rho)}(t, s) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \tilde{\tau}_n^{(\rho)}(s) t^n .$$

From (6) we obtain

$$(7) \quad \tau^{(\rho)}(t, s) = (\tau^{(1)}(t, s))^{\rho},$$

which is valid for $\rho \geq 1$.

We obtain next an expression for $\tilde{\tau}^{(1)}(t,s)$ in terms of the transform,

$$\tilde{b}_n(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sx} db_n(x)$$

of the non-decreasing function

$$b_n(x) = P\{0 < S_n \leq x\}.$$

From Lemma 2 we have

$$\frac{1}{n} b_n(x) = \sum_{\rho=1}^n \frac{1}{\rho} \tau_n^{(\rho)}(x)$$

which, in terms of Stieltjes transforms, becomes

$$\frac{1}{n} \tilde{b}_n(s) = \sum_{\rho=1}^n \frac{1}{\rho} \tilde{\tau}_n^{(\rho)}(s).$$

Forming generating functions and observing that

$$\tilde{\tau}_n^{(\rho)}(s) \equiv 0, \quad \rho > n$$

we have after interchanging the order of summation

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \tilde{b}_n(s) t^n = \sum_{\rho=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\rho} (\tilde{\tau}^{(1)}(t,s))^{\rho} = \log \frac{1}{1 - \tilde{\tau}^{(1)}(t,s)};$$

thus

$$(8) \quad \tilde{\tau}^{(1)}(t,s) = 1 - e^{-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\tilde{b}_n(s)/n] t^n}$$

The problem treated in this paper is concerned with sequences of the form Y, X_1, X_2, \dots where Y is independent of the sequence $\{X_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$, the random variables X_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots$ are independent and identically distributed, and Y has an arbitrary distribution.

Define the partial sums

$$(9) \quad \sigma_0 = 0, \quad \sigma_1 = Y, \dots \quad \sigma_k = Y + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} X_i, \dots$$

Let E denote the event the occurrence of a strict ladder index in the sequence $\{\sigma_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$. We observe from Lemma 2, that after the first occurrence of E , E behaves as a recurrent event; hence we have:

Lemma 3. The occurrence of a strict ladder index for the $\{\sigma_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ is a delayed recurrent event.

Let

$$\phi_n^{(\rho)}(-Y) = P\{n \text{ is the } \rho\text{-th strict ladder index among } \{\sigma_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}\}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad \rho \geq 1.$$

From Lemma 3 we conclude that

$$(10) \quad \{\phi_n^{(\rho+1)}(-Y)\} = \{\phi_n^{(1)}(-Y)\} * \{\mu_n^{(\rho)}\}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad \rho \geq 1,$$

where $*$ denotes convolution. Define $\phi_0^{(\rho)}(-Y) = 0$ and put

$$\phi^{(\rho)}(t, -Y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n^{(\rho)}(-Y) t^n.$$

In terms of generating functions, (10) becomes

$$\phi^{(\rho)}(t, -Y) = \phi^{(1)}(t, -Y) (\mu^{(1)}(t))^{\rho-1}, \quad \rho \geq 1.$$

For the sequence Y, X_1, X_2, \dots let

$$(11) \quad S_0 = 0, \quad S_j = \sum_{i=1}^j X_i, \quad j \geq 1.$$

The following lemma relates strict ladder indices of $\{\sigma_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ to those of $\{S_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$.

Lemma 4. The sequence $\{\sigma_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ has n as a strict ladder index if and only if the sequence $\{S_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ has $n-1$ as a strict ladder index and $S_{n-1} > Y$, $n \geq 2$.

Proof. If n is a strict ladder index of $\{\sigma_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$, we have

$$(12) \quad \sigma_n > \sigma_{n-k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Since

$$\sigma_j = Y + S_{j-1}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

(12) can be written in the form

$$S_{n-1} > S_{n-k-1}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

where we define

$$S_{-1} = -Y.$$

Thus $S_{n-1} > -Y$, and $n-1$ is a strict ladder index for $\{S_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$. The argument is clearly invertible.

3. THE SINGLE-SERVER QUEUE

We turn our attention now to a single-server queueing system in which customers arrive at the instants $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n, \dots$ and the inter-arrival times $\tau_n = t_{n+1} - t_n$, $n \geq 1$, $t_1 = 0$ are independent, identically distributed, non-negative random variables with distribution function F . We assume that the first customer to arrive finds the server idle, and service starts immediately. The service time of this first customer is a fixed quantity b . Successive customers are serviced in any order, and the server is never idle if customers are waiting for service. The service times of customers after the first form a sequence $\{s_n\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ of identically distributed non-negative random variables independent of each other and of the input process $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, with common distribution function G . Both F and G are taken to be continuous from the right.

By the busy period we mean the time interval from t_1 , until the server is next idle.

There is a duality between the behavior of a single server queue during a busy period and the following moving queue problem. Let $\{E_j\}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$ denote the members of an ordered infinite queue, the spacing between adjacent elements being stochastically determined. Let b denote the distance of E_1 at time $t = 0$ from a barrier located at the origin. Let \mathcal{S}_j denote the distance between E_j and E_{j+1} . We assume that at time $t = 0$ service begins on E_1 and the queue begins to move with unit velocity towards the barrier. Service of E_j is initiated instantaneously upon completion of service on E_{j-1} . Let τ_j denote the service time on the j -th item. If an element E_j arrives at 0 before service on it has been completed, we assume the process to terminate. If we identify the distribution functions of $\{\mathcal{S}_j\}$ and $\{\tau_j\}$ with those of the general single server queue, then the number of elements serviced before an item reaches the barrier is equal to one less than the number of elements serviced in a busy period.

The process described has a recursive character, which is best seen by arguing in terms of the moving server problem. Let v denote the number of elements served before termination of the process. Set

$$P\{v = n \text{ / } E_1 \text{ at } b\} = \hat{p}_n(b) .$$

Since $v = 0$ if and only if the service time on E_1 exceeds b , we have

$$\hat{p}_0(b) = 1 - F(b) .$$

Moreover, v takes the value $n \geq 1$ if and only if service on E_1 is completed and exactly $n-1$ additional elements are serviced prior to termination; denoting this event by A we have,

$$P\{A \text{ / } \tau_1 = t, \mathcal{J}_1 = x\} = \hat{p}_{n-1}(b - t + x) .$$

Hence,

$$\hat{p}_n(b) = \int_{[0,b]} \int_{[0,\infty)} \hat{p}_{n-1}(b-t+x) dF(t) dG(x) , \quad n \geq 1 .$$

Let $p_{n+1}(b)$ be the corresponding busy period probability.

We have

$$p_{n+1}(b) = \hat{p}_n(b) , \quad n \geq 0 .$$

Let

$$Y = \tau_1 - b$$

$$X_{j-1} = \tau_j - \mathcal{J}_j , \quad j \geq 2 .$$

Let $u \leq b$ be a realization of τ_1 , and let $\{S_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$

be defined as in (9) and (11) for the sequence of random variables

$$u - b, x_1, x_2, \dots$$

Let

$$\phi_n^{(\rho)}(b-u) = P\{n \text{ is the } \rho\text{-th strict ladder index among } \{\sigma_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}\}$$

$$\lambda_n^{(\rho)}(b-u) = P\{n \text{ is the } \rho\text{-th strict ladder index among } \{S_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \text{ and } S_n > b - u\},$$

$$\tau_n^{(\rho)}(b-u) = P\{n \text{ is the } \rho\text{-th strict ladder index among } \{S_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \text{ and } 0 < S_n \leq b - u\},$$

$$\mu_n^{(\rho)} = P\{n \text{ is the } \rho\text{-th strict ladder index among } \{S_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}\}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad \rho = 1, 2, \dots, n;$$

all functions vanish at $n = 0$. The following relation is trivially evident.

$$(14) \quad \mu_{n-1}^{(\rho)} = \tau_{n-1}^{(\rho)}(b-u) + \lambda_{n-1}^{(\rho)}(b-u), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Lemma 5.

$$\sum_{\rho=1}^n \phi_n^{(\rho)}(b-u) = \sum_{\rho=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{n-1}^{(\rho)}(b-u), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Proof. Let

$$A_n = \{n \text{ is a strict ladder index for } \{\sigma_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}\} ,$$

$$B_n = \{n \text{ is a strict ladder index for } \{S_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \\ \text{and } S_n > b - u\}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Clearly,

$$P\{A_n\} = \sum_{\rho=1}^{n-1} \phi_n^{(\rho)}(b-u) , \quad n \geq 1,$$

and

$$P\{B_{n-1}\} = \sum_{\rho=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{n-1}^{(\rho)}(b-u) , \quad n \geq 2 .$$

Moreover, by Lemma 4, $A_n = B_{n-1}$, which completes the proof for $n \geq 2$. For $n = 1$ we note that for $u \leq b$, $\phi_1^{(1)}(b-u) = 0$.

Since the busy period ends if the cumulative service time is less than the cumulative inter-arrival times, we have

$$(15) \quad p_n(b) = \int_{[0,b]} \phi_n^{(1)}(b-u) dF(u) , \quad n \geq 2.$$

It is convenient to write this equation in the form

$$(16) \quad p_n(b) = \int_{[0,b]} (\phi_n^{(1)}(b-u) - \phi_n^{(1)}(0)) dF(u) + F(b)\phi_n^{(1)}(0).$$

Lemma 6. $p_n(b)$ and $\phi_n(b)$ are of bounded variation.

Proof. Let

$$(17) \quad \pi_n(b) = P\{\text{servicing at least } n \text{ customers}\}.$$

Then

$$p_n(b) = \pi_n(b) - \pi_{n+1}(b) .$$

H. Hanisch and W. Hirsch [5] have shown that $\pi_n(b)$ is non-decreasing in b . Hence $p_n(b)$ is of bounded variation.

To establish that $\phi_n(b)$ is of bounded variation, we observe from (13) and (15) that

$$\phi_n(b) = \int_{[0, \infty)} p_{n-1}(b+x) dG(x) , \quad b \geq 0, n \geq 2.$$

Since p_n is of bounded variation, so is ϕ_n .

Forming Laplace-Stieltjes transforms of (16) we get

$$(18) \quad \tilde{p}_n(s) = \tilde{\phi}_n^{(1)}(s) \tilde{F}(s) + \tilde{\phi}_n^{(1)}(0) \tilde{F}(s) , \quad n \geq 2 ,$$

(where " \sim " denotes transform). Now putting

$$(19) \quad \tilde{p}(t,s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{p}_n(s) t^n ,$$

and denoting by $F^{(n)}$ and $G^{(n)}$ the n -th convolutions of F

and G respectively, we have

Theorem 1.

$$(20) \quad \tilde{P}(t, s) = t(\tilde{p}_1(s) + \tilde{F}(s) \tilde{\phi}^*(t, s)) ,$$

where

$$(21) \quad \tilde{\phi}^*(t, s) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j t^j ;$$

$$(22) \quad c_j =$$

$$\sum_{k_1+2k_2+\dots+jk_j=j} \frac{(-1)^{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_j+1}}{k_1!k_2!\dots k_j!} \left(\frac{a_1-\tilde{b}_1(s)}{1}\right)^{k_1} \dots \left(\frac{a_j-\tilde{b}_j(s)}{j}\right)^{k_j} ;$$

$$(23) \quad a_n = \int_{[0, \infty)} (1 - F^{(n)}(t)) dG^{(n)}(t) ,$$

and $\tilde{b}_n(s)$ is the Laplace-Stieltjes transform of

$$(24) \quad b_n(x) = \int_{[0, \infty)} (F^{(n)}(x+t) - F^{(n)}(t)) dG^{(n)}(t) , \quad n \geq 1.$$

Proof. Let

$$\tilde{\phi}^{(1)}(t, s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\phi}_n^{(1)}(s) t^n$$

and note that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_0^{(1)}(u) &= \phi_1^{(1)}(u) = 0, & u \geq 0 \\ \phi_n^{(1)}(0) &= \mu_{n-1}^{(1)}, & n \geq 1.\end{aligned}$$

Introducing generating functions in (18), we get

$$(25) \quad \tilde{P}(t,s) = t \tilde{p}_1(s) + \tilde{\phi}^{(1)}(t,s) \tilde{F}(s) + t \mu^{(1)}(t) \tilde{F}(s).$$

By Lemma 5 and (14), we have

$$(26) \quad \sum_{\rho=1}^n \phi_n^{(\rho)}(b-u) = \sum_{\rho=1}^{n-1} \mu_{n-1}^{(\rho)} - \sum_{\rho=1}^{n-1} \tau_{n-1}^{(\rho)}(b-u), \quad n \geq 2, u \leq b.$$

We introduce Laplace-Stieltjes transforms in (26) and get

$$(27) \quad \sum_{\rho=1}^{\infty} \phi_n^{(\rho)}(s) = \sum_{\rho=1}^{\infty} \tau_{n-1}^{(\rho)}(s), \quad n \geq 2$$

where we have extended the upper limits of the summations to ∞ since $\phi_n^{(\rho)}(u) = 0$, $\rho > n$, and $\tau_{n-1}^{(\rho)}(u) = 0$, $\rho > n-1$. Introducing generating functions in (27), we have after interchanging the order of summation

$$\sum_{\rho=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\phi}^{(\rho)}(t,s) = -t \sum_{\rho=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\tau}^{(\rho)}(t,s).$$

Referring back to the basic results from fluctuation theory

(2) and (7), we get

$$\frac{\tilde{\phi}^{(1)}(t,s)}{1-\mu^{(1)}(t)} = \frac{-t \tilde{\tau}^{(1)}(t,s)}{1-\tilde{\tau}^{(1)}(t,s)}$$

which when substituted into (25) yields

$$(28) \quad \tilde{P}(t,s) = t \tilde{p}_1(s) + t \tilde{F}(s) \tilde{\phi}^*(t,s)$$

where

$$\tilde{\phi}^*(t,s) = 1 - \frac{1-\mu^{(1)}(t)}{1-\tilde{\tau}^{(1)}(t,s)}.$$

From our earlier results (5) and (8) we see that

$$(29) \quad \tilde{\phi}^*(t,s) = 1 - \frac{e^{-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{P(S_n > 0)}{n} t^n}}{e^{-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n(s)}{n} t^n}}, \quad t < 1.$$

Let $a_n = P\{S_n > 0\}$. a_n and $b_n(x)$ can be expressed in terms of distributions of the process as given by (21) and (22). Let

$$1 - e^{-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n - b_n(s)}{n} t^n} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j t^j, \quad t < 1.$$

By a combinatorial result (Cf. Riordan [7]), c_j is given by (20). Thus from (28)

$$\tilde{p}_{j+1}(s) = c_j \tilde{F}(s), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

and we have the initial condition that

$$\tilde{p}_1(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sb} d(1 - F(b)).$$

4. PROPERTIES OF $P(1, b)$

From our knowledge of the generating function $P(t, s)$ we can discuss properties of $P(1, b) = P\{\text{finitely many customers are serviced in a busy period}\}$ or in terms of the dual formulation the $P\{\text{finitely many elements are serviced before absorption}\}$. This quantity can be regarded as one measure of the effectiveness of the service facility.

P. Finch has shown the following result for the queueing system $G|GI|1$ where τ is the inter-arrival time and \mathcal{S} is the service time.

Theorem 2. If $E(\tau - \mathcal{S}) < \infty$, then $\mu^{(1)}(1) = 1$ if $E(\tau - \mathcal{S}) \geq 0$ and F and G do not both degenerate at the same point; and $\mu^{(1)}(1) < 1$ if $E(\tau - \mathcal{S}) < 0$.

We can extend Finch's theorem to include the following cases of infinite expectations.

Theorem 2'. (a) If $\infty = E(\tau - \mathcal{S})$, $\infty = E(\tau) > E(\mathcal{S})$, then $\mu^{(1)}(1) = 1$; and if (b) $-\infty = E(\tau - \mathcal{S})$, $E(\mathcal{S}) = \infty > E(\tau)$, then $\mu^{(1)}(1) < 1$.

Proof. Suppose (a) is the case. Define the truncated variables

$$\begin{aligned} x_n^c &= x_n, & x_n < c \\ &= 0, & x_n > c \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < c < \infty$ is chosen so that $0 < E(x_n^c) < \infty$. Let

$$S_n^c = \sum_{j=0}^n x_j^c, \quad A_n^c = P(S_n^c > 0).$$

We have the relation between events

$$(30) \quad \{S_n^c > 0\} \subseteq \{S_n > 0\}.$$

Let

$$\mu_c^{(1)}(t) = 1 - e^{-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_n^c}{n} t^n}$$

Since $\infty > E(X_n^c) > 0$, we obtain from Theorem 2, $\mu_c^{(1)}(1) = 1$ which implies $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^c/n = \infty$. Now by (30), $A_n^c \leq A_n$ and, therefore, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n/n = \infty$. From (4) we then obtain $\mu^{(1)}(1) = 1$.

We similarly consider the case $E(\tau - \delta) = -\infty$.

We now define the truncated variables

$$\begin{aligned} X_n^c &= X_n, & X_n &> -c \\ &= 0, & X_n &\leq -c \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < c < \infty$ is chosen so that $0 > E(X_n^c) > -\infty$.

Let S_n^c and A_n^c be defined accordingly, and we now observe

$$(31) \quad \{S_n > 0\} \subseteq \{S_n^c > 0\}$$

and hence $A_n \leq A_n^c$. $\mu_c^{(1)}(1) < 1$ follows from Theorem 2. Therefore $\sum A_n^c/n < \infty$ which implies $\sum A_n/n < \infty$ and hence $\mu^{(1)}(1) < 1$.

The following lemmas are required for the proof of the main theorem of this section.

Lemma 7.

$$\tilde{P}(1, s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sb} dP(1, b).$$

Proof. We have defined

$$(32) \quad \tilde{P}(1, s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sb} dp_n(b)$$

which can alternatively be written as

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^N \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sb} dp_n(b) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sb} \sum_{n=0}^N dp_n(b),$$

the interchange being permitted over the finite interval.
Noting that as defined by (17)

$$\sum_{n=0}^N p_n(b) = 1 - \pi_{N+1}(b) ,$$

we have from (32)

$$(33) \quad \tilde{P}(1,s) = - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sb} d\pi_{N+1}(b) .$$

H. Hanisch and W. Hirsch in [5] have shown $\pi_N(b)$ to be a distribution function. Now let $S_n = \{\text{at least } n \text{ customers are serviced}\}$, $n \geq 1$, and

$$S = \{\text{infinitely many customers are serviced}\} .$$

Clearly

$$S_{n+1} \subset S_n , \quad \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n = S .$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(S_n) = P(s)$$

i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_n(b) = 1 - P(1,b) .$$

Thus the Helly-Bray Theorem applied to (33) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 (34) \quad \tilde{P}(1,s) &= - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sb} d\pi_{N+1}(b) \\
 &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sb} dP(1,b) .
 \end{aligned}$$

The inequality $\tilde{P}(1,s) \leq 1$ follows from (34) since

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-sb} dP(1,b) \leq P(1,\infty) \leq 1.$$

Lemma 8.

$$\tilde{\tau}^{(1)}(t,s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sb} d\tau^{(1)}(t,b).$$

Proof. We have defined

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\tau}^{(1)}(t,s) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sb} d\tau_n^{(1)}(b) t^n \\
 &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sb} d \sum_{n=0}^N \tau_n^{(1)}(b) t^n .
 \end{aligned}$$

Now $\sum_{n=0}^N \tau_n^{(1)}(b) t^n$ is monotone non-decreasing and right continuous in b , inheriting these properties from $\{\tau_n^{(1)}(b)\}$. Furthermore, using these facts and (5), we deduce

$$\sum_{n=0}^N \tau_n^{(1)}(b) t^n \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau_n^{(1)}(\infty) = \mu^{(1)}(1) \leq 1,$$

and thus

$$\left\{ \sum_{n=0}^N \tau_n^{(1)}(b) t^n \right\}_{N=0}^{\infty}$$

is a set of distribution functions.

Thus, by the Helly-Bray Theorem,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int e^{-sb} d \sum_{n=0}^N \tau_n^{(1)}(b) t^n = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sb} d\tau^{(1)}(t, b).$$

Now we can prove the following.

Theorem 3. If (a) $E(X) = E(\tau - \mathcal{J}) \geq 0$, and F and G do not both degenerate at the same point, then $P(1, b) \equiv 1$; if (b) $F(\infty) = 1$, $E(\tau - \mathcal{J}) < 0$, then $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} P(1, b) = 0$.

Proof. An application of Abel's theorem to (20) yields

$$(35) \quad \tilde{P}(1, s) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} t(\tilde{p}_1(s) + \tilde{F}(s) \tilde{\phi}^*(t, s)).$$

We have from Theorem 2 that under (a), $\mu^{(1)}(1) = 1$.
hence

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \tilde{\phi}^*(t,s) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu^{(1)}(t) - \tilde{\tau}^{(1)}(t,s)}{1 - \tilde{\tau}^{(1)}(t,s)} = 1.$$

From (35) we then have

$$(36) \quad \tilde{P}(1,s) = \tilde{p}_1(s) + \tilde{F}(s)$$

which by Lemma 7, when inverted, yields

$$P(1,b) = 1 - F(b) + F(b) = 1.$$

Under (b) we have the result $\mu^{(1)}(1) < 1$.

From Lemma 8 we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tau}^{(1)}(t,s) &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sb} d\tau^{(1)}(t,b) \\ &\leq \tau^{(1)}(t,\infty) = \mu^{(1)}(t) < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(37) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \tilde{\phi}^*(t,s) = \frac{\mu^{(1)}(1) - \tilde{\tau}^{(1)}(1,s)}{1 - \tilde{\tau}^{(1)}(1,s)} < \infty.$$

Using the Abelian convergence theorem for Laplace transforms we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\tau}(1,s) = \tau^{(1)}(1,\infty) = \mu^{(1)}(1),$$

and thus from (37), $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \phi^*(1,s) = 0$.

From (35) we then conclude $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \tilde{p}(1,s) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \tilde{p}_1(s)$.

Again utilizing the Abelian convergence theorem

and the fact that $F(\infty) = 1$, we get

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \tilde{p}(1,s) = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} P(1,b) - P(1,0-) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \tilde{p}_1(s) = -1.$$

Now $P(1,0-) = 1$. Hence $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} P(1,b) = 0$.

References

1. Feller, W., An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications, Vol. I, 2nd Ed., Wiley, 1950.
2. Feller, W., An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications, Vol. II, 2nd Ed., Wiley, 1950.
3. Feller, W., On combinatorial methods in fluctuation theory, Probability and Statistics, Ed. U. Grenander, Wiley, 1959, pp. 75-91.
4. Finch, P. D., On the busy period in the queueing system GI/G/I, Journal of Australian Math. Soc., Vol. II, Part 2, Oct. 61, pp. 217-227.
5. Hanisch, H. and Hirsch, W., Properties of a transient queue, Comm. On Pure and Appl. Math., No. 4, 11/1/63.
6. Hirsch, W., Conn, J., and Siegel, C., A queueing process with an absorbing state, Comm. on Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. XIV, 1960, pp. 137-153.
7. Loeve, M., Probability Theory, D. Van Nostrand, 1955.
8. Riordan, J., An Introduction to Combinatorial Analysis, Wiley, 1958.
9. Spitzer, F., A combinatorial lemma and its applications to probability theory, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 82, 1956, pp. 323-339.
10. Widder, D. V., The Laplace Transform, Princeton Univ. Press, 1946.

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences New York University		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION not classified	
		2b. GROUP none	
3. REPORT TITLE Results on a Transient Queue			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Technical Report September 1967			
5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial) Siegel, Carole			
6. REPORT DATE September 1967		7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 25	7b. NO. OF REFS 10
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. Nonr-285(38)		9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) IMM 360	
b. PROJECT NO. NR 042-206		9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report) none	
c.			
d.			
10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES Distribution of this document is unlimited.			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES none		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY U.S. Navy, Office of Naval Research 207 West 24th St., New York, N.Y.	
13. ABSTRACT A result of P. Finch [Jour. Aus. Math. Soc., 10/61, 217-227] which obtains the generating function of the number of customers served during the busy period of G/GI/1 is extended. The case considered is that in which the service time of the first customer is a constant and service times of all subsequent customers are identically distributed random variables. The techniques employed involve a relation between the theories of fluctuation and of delayed recurrent events. A probabilistic derivation of a result due to Hirsch and Hanisch [Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 11/63] is given.			

14.	KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
		ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT

INSTRUCTIONS

1. **ORIGINATING ACTIVITY:** Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (*corporate author*) issuing the report.

2a. **REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION:** Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. **GROUP:** Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. **REPORT TITLE:** Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. **DESCRIPTIVE NOTES:** If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. **AUTHOR(S):** Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. **REPORT DATE:** Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. **TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES:** The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. **NUMBER OF REFERENCES:** Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. **CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER:** If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. **PROJECT NUMBER:** Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. **ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S):** Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. **OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S):** If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (*either by the originator or by the sponsor*), also enter this number(s).

10. **AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES:** Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through _____."
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through _____."
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through _____."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. **SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES:** Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. **SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY:** Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (*paying for*) the research and development. Include address.

13. **ABSTRACT:** Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. **KEY WORDS:** Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, roles, and weights is optional.

BASIC DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR UNCLASSIFIED TECHNICAL REPORTS

Head, Logistics and Mathematical Statistics Branch Office of Naval Research Washington, D.C. 20360	3 copies
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office Navy #100 Fleet Post Office New York, New York	2 copies
Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	20 copies
Defense Logistics Studies Information Exchange Army Logistics Management Center Fort Lee, Virginia Attn: William B. Whichard	1 copy
Technical Information Officer Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20390	6 copies
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 207 West 24th Street New York, New York 10011 Attn: J. Laderman	1 copy
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena 1, California Attn: Dr. A.R. Laufer	1 copy
Bureau of Supplies and Accounts Code OW Department of the Navy Washington 25, D.C.	1 copy
Institute for Defense Analyses Communications Research Division von Neumann Hall Princeton, New Jersey	1 copy
University of Chicago Statistical Research Center Chicago, Illinois Attn: Prof. Paul Meier	1 copy

Stanford University
Applied Mathematics & Statistics Lab.
Stanford, California
Attn: Prof. Gerald J. Lieberman

Florida State University
Department of Statistics
Tallahassee, Florida
Attn: Prof. I.R. Savage

Florida State University
Department of Statistics
Tallahassee, Florida
Attn: Dr. Ralph A. Bradley

Princeton University
Department of Mathematics
Princeton, New Jersey

Columbia University
Department of Mathematical Statistics
New York 27, New York
Attn: Prof. T.W. Anderson

University of California
Department of Statistics
Berkeley 4, California
Attn: Prof. J. Neyman

University of Washington
Department of Mathematics
Seattle 5, Washington
Attn: Prof. Z.W. Birnbaum

Cornell University
Department of Mathematics
Ithaca, New York
Attn: Prof. J. Wolfowitz

Harvard University
Department of Statistics
Cambridge, Massachusetts
Attn: Prof. W.G. Cochran

The Research Triangle Institute
Statistics Research Division
505 West Chapel Hill Street
Durham, North Carolina
Attn: Dr. Malcolm R. Leadbetter

Columbia University
Dept. of Industrial Engineering
New York 27, New York
Attn: Prof. Cyrus Derman

Columbia University
Department of Mathematics
New York 27, New York
Attn: Prof. H. Robbins

New York University
Courant Inst. of Mathematical Sciences
New York , New York 10012
Attn: Prof. W.M. Hirsch

Cornell University
Department of Plant Breeding
Biometrics Unit
Ithaca, New York
Attn: Walter T. Federer

University of North Carolina
Statistics Department
Chapel Hill, North Carolina
Attn: Prof. Walter L. Smith

Stanford University
Department of Statistics
Stanford, California
Attn: Prof. Herman Rubin

Brown University
Division of Applied Mathematics
Providence 12, Rhode Island
Attn: Prof. M. Rosenblatt

New York University
Department of Industrial Engineering
and Operations Research
Bronx 63, New York
Attn: Prof. J. H. Kao

University of Wisconsin
Department of Statistics
Madison, Wisconsin
Attn: Prof. G.E.P. Box

Nonr 285(38)

Prof. Anders Hald
Institute of Mathematical Statistics
University of Copenhagen
Copenhagen, Denmark

Yale University
Department of Mathematics
New Haven, Connecticut
Attn: Prof. L.J. Savage

Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Department of Electrical Engineering
Cambridge, Massachusetts
Attn: Dr. R.A. Howard

Stanford University
Department of Statistics
Stanford, California
Attn: Prof. E. Parzen

Arcon Corporation
803 Massachusetts Avenue
Lexington 73, Massachusetts
Attn: Dr. Arthur Albert

University of California
Institute of Engineering Research
Berkeley 4, California
Attn: Prof. R.E. Barlow

Michigan State University
Department of Statistics
East Lansing, Michigan
Attn: Prof. J. Gani

Dr. Jack R. Borsting
Chairman
Dept. of Operations Analysis
U. S. Naval Postgraduate Sch.
Monterey, California 93940

Prof. Harold E. Dodge
Rutgers - The State University
Statistics Center
New Brunswick, New Jersey

Yale University
Department of Statistics
New Haven, Connecticut
Attn: Prof. F.J. Anscombe

Purdue University
Division of Mathematical Sciences
Lafayette, Indiana
Attn: Prof. S.S. Gupta

Cornell University
Department of Industrial Engineering
Ithaca, New York
Attn: Prof. Robert Bechhofer

Stanford University
Department of Statistics
Stanford, California
Attn: Prof. C. Stein

Applied Mathematics and Statistics Lab.
Department of Statistics
Stanford University
Stanford, California
Attn: Prof. H. Solomon

Decision Studies Group
460 California Avenue
Palo Alto, California
Attn: Warren R. Ketler

The Johns Hopkins University
Department of Mathematical Statistics
34th and Charles Streets
Baltimore 18, Maryland
Att: Prof. Geoffrey S. Watson

NYU
IMM-
360 Siegel

c.1

Results on a transient queue.

NYU
IMM-
360 Siegel

c.1

AUTHOR

Results on a transient

TITLE

queue.

DATE DUE

BORROWER'S NAME

**N.Y.U. Courant Institute of
Mathematical Sciences**

251 Mercer St.
New York, N. Y. 10012

NOV 17 1967

DATE DUE

